System for Prostate Brachytherapy and Biopsy in a Standard 1.5 T MRI Scanner
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A technique for transperineal high-dose-rate (HDR) prostate brachytherapy and needle biopsy in a standard 1.5 T MRI scanner is demonstrated. In each of eight procedures (in four patients with intermediate to high risk localized prostate cancer), four MRI-guided transperineal prostate biopsies were obtained followed by placement of 14–15 hollow transperineal catheters for HDR brachytherapy. Mean needle-placement accuracy was 2.1 mm, 95% of needle-placement errors were less than 4.0 mm, and the maximum needle-placement error was 4.4 mm. In addition to guiding the placement of biopsy needles and brachytherapy catheters, MR images were also used for brachytherapy treatment planning and optimization. Because 1.5 T MR images are directly acquired during the interventional procedure, dependence on deformable registration is reduced and online image quality is maximized. Magn Reson Med 52: 683–687, 2004. Published 2004 Wiley-Liss, Inc.†
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Prostate cancer, with a projected incidence of 220,900 new cases in 2003, is the most commonly diagnosed nonskin cancer in men in the United States (1). Currently, the three most common treatment alternatives for the management of localized prostate cancer are watchful waiting, radical prostatectomy, and radiation therapy. While the first minimizes treatment-related morbidity, overly conservative management has been associated with poor outcomes (2). While the latter two options offer a good chance of cure, they can cause significant morbidity, including proctitis, incontinence, and erectile dysfunction (3). Therefore, new techniques that can improve the prognostic accuracy of our current diagnostic methods and reduce the morbidity of treatment are warranted.

Both of these goals can be addressed using MRI. Because of its excellent soft-tissue contrast, MRI has great potential to provide accurate image guidance for low-morbidity percutaneous procedures (4). Compared with ultrasound, the most commonly used modality to guide needle placement in the prostate, MRI provides far better visualization of the prostate and surrounding anatomy (4). More important, the advent of molecular imaging promises to improve the diagnostic and prognostic accuracy of imaging by yielding information based on the molecular and metabolic profiles of the tissue (5).

Prior work on MRI-guided prostate interventions has been performed using low-field-strength (e.g., 0.2 or 0.5 T) open-scanner architectures (6,7). While “open” scanners offer improved patient accessibility, they do not provide the highest quality MR images. In an effort to improve image quality while maintaining patient accessibility, some groups have investigated hybrid approaches in which previously acquired 1.5 T MR images were registered with images acquired in the low-field-strength interventional scanner (8–10). Other groups have registered intraoperative ultrasound images with previously acquired 1.5 T MR images (11,12). While both of these approaches simplify the interventional procedure itself, deformable registration between image sets can introduce inaccuracies, particularly in highly deformable tissues such as the prostate.

Here, we present a technique for performing MRI-guided high-dose-rate (HDR) prostate brachytherapy and tissue biopsy within a “closed” 1.5 T scanner architecture. In a series of eight treatments in four patients with prostate cancer, this technique served two purposes. First, it allowed for the acquisition of tissue—for molecular and histological analysis—from specific sites within the prostate that were accurately registered with the MR image data. Second, it provided accurate MR image-guided placement of brachytherapy treatment catheters. In contrast to previous work, we performed these interventions in a standard 1.5 T cylindrical-scanner platform in order to maximize image quality (through higher field strength, improved B0 homogeneity, and higher gradient performance).

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Percutaneous Needle Guidance

An MRI-compatible system for planning and execution of transperineal needle insertion consisting of a lockable po-
tissue was fixed to the anterior surface of the endorectal coil and filled with Surgilube such that it was MR-visible. Because the grid was rigidly fixed at a 90° angle relative to the endorectal coil, the path of the endorectal coil accurately defined the insertion axis of the template coordinate system (the x- and y-axis definitions were automatically updated such that they were normal to the insertion axis). After the grid was fully registered, the trajectory of each needle hole was extended through the MR image space and superimposed on the image as a colored dot (i.e., each grid hole is projected along the insertion-axis of the template coordinate system). During the procedure, both the MR scanner and the PC running the image display and targeting program were controlled from within the scanner room, the former via an in-room display and mouse (Siemens Medical Systems) and the latter via a cordless mouse and keyboard (Cordless Elite Duo, Logitech, Fremont, CA). The targeting display was projected (LP340b LCD Projector, InFocus, Wilsonville, OR) onto a wall-mounted screen (Da-Mat, Da-Lite, Warsaw, IN) in the scanner room.

Clinical Procedures

After providing informed consent, patients were enrolled in an investigational protocol reviewed and approved by the NIH Clinical Center Institutional Review Board. All patients were being treated for intermediate to high risk localized prostate cancer at the Radiation Oncology Branch of the NCI, NIH Clinical Center. Each of the four patients underwent MR-guided biopsy and conformal HDR brachytherapy boosts at the beginning and end of a 5-week course of conformal external beam radiation therapy.

HDR prostate brachytherapy uses an Iridium-192 source that is temporarily placed inside the prostate via hollow closed-tip catheters that are inserted through the perineum and into the prostate gland, commonly under ultrasound guidance (13). After parallel and equidistant placement of ~14–18 catheters, a set of axial images is loaded into a brachytherapy dosimetry planning system and the location of the prostate, rectum, bladder, and urethra are defined. The system then optimizes the radiation dose to the prostate while minimizing the exposure of nearby normal tissues and produces a treatment prescription that defines the duration for which the radiation source should dwell at each axial position in each catheter (total radiation time is <20 min). This treatment, as performed under MRI guidance, is similar, with the exception that all planning and placement of catheters were performed within the MRI scanner.

All procedures were performed under general anesthesia. After registration of the perineal grid (as described previously), biopsy sites were selected, a grid hole and insertion depth for each site were read from the targeting application, the patient table was withdrawn from the scanner, and MR-compatible 14-gauge single-action beveled biopsy needles were inserted (MRI Devices, Waukesha WI). The patient was then advanced back into the scanner and, prior to tissue collection, FSE images were acquired to verify placement of the needles (TR = 741 ms, TE = 60 ms, ETL = 7, pixel BW = 125 Hz/pixel, FOV = 25 cm, ST = 4 mm, 256 × 256, 12 slices, NEX = 1, scan time = 0:28).
Following biopsy collection, needle insertion for HDR brachytherapy was performed. Generally, two to four 14-gauge, MR-compatible beveled or straight-tipped guiding needles (MRI Devices) were inserted at a time, after which FSE image volumes were acquired to confirm needle placement. Plastic brachytherapy catheters (5 Fr; Proguide, Nucletron, Columbia, MD) were then inserted through each guiding needle, which was subsequently removed. Catheter depths were chosen such that the entire superior–inferior dimension of the prostate was traversed without puncturing the bladder wall (which lies immediately superior to the prostate).

After placement of all brachytherapy catheters, a final set of T2-weighted images (in the axial, sagittal, and coronal image planes) were acquired (TR = 3500 ms, TE = 121 ms, ETL = 9, pixel BW = 130 Hz/pixel, FOV = 20 cm, ST = 3 mm, 256 × 256, 26 slices, NEX = 2; scan time = 3:38). The images were forwarded to a brachytherapy dosimetry planning system (PLATO, Nucletron) while the patient was transferred—without moving the needle template or the catheters—to a shielded room for radiation delivery. Currently, the procedure requires ~2 hr for MR scanning and 5 hr for the entire treatment (from patient induction to the end of radiation treatment).

RESULTS

Needle Placement Accuracy

In a series of eight procedures in four patients, this system was used to perform a total of 32 targeted biopsy needle placements within the prostate. The mean biopsy needle placement error was 2.1 mm, 95% of the needle placement errors were less than 4.0 mm, and the maximum error measured was 4.4 mm (Fig. 2). Needle placement error is measured as the distance between the intended target site (i.e., the projection of the needle template hole) and the middle of the signal void created by the biopsy needle. As axial images were acquired and control of insertion depth is very accurate, only errors in the transverse plane were measured. Moreover, because biopsy cores are 1.0 cm long but only 1.5 mm in diameter, a transverse error in needle placement is much more significant than insertion depth error.

High-Dose-Rate Brachytherapy Catheter Placement

Following acquisition of four tissue samples in each patient, 14–15 HDR brachytherapy catheters were placed within the prostate. Total MR-time for placement of the catheters in the first two treatments was 2 hr; catheter placement required 1.5 hr in the subsequent six treatments. Figure 3 shows catheter placements for HDR brachytherapy treatments delivered before (Fig. 3a) and after (Fig. 3b) a 5-week course of external beam radiation therapy. Characteristic changes induced by radiation treatment (namely, atrophy of the normally bright peripheral zone of the prostate) are clearly visible in the second treatment. Figure 3c,d shows radiation isodose contours for each radiation treatment along with contours outlining the prostate, urethra, and the rectum. While the contour maps in Fig. 3 only show dose distribution in 2D, the treatment plan is generated and optimized in 3D using a full volume of MR data. A 3D measure of dose delivery to the prostate, V100 (percent of the target receiving ≥100% of the prescribed dose), was consistently greater than 90%, while a 3D measure of urethral overdose, urethral V125...
(percent of the urethra receiving ≥125% of the dose) was <5%.

DISCUSSION

Conventional MR imaging, MR spectroscopic imaging, dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI, and diffusion-weighted MRI have all shown great potential for the diagnosis and assessment of prostate cancer. However, there has been a significant barrier between the collection of these data and its application for targeted tissue acquisition and therapy. It has been widely assumed that the standard architecture of high-field MRI scanners precludes percutaneous access to the prostate. Therefore, most work has focused on the deformable registration of images acquired in high-field scanners (which contain valuable anatomical and functional data) with images acquired via ultrasound, CT, or low-field open MRI (which are more amenable to image-guided interventions). This deformable registration step from MRI to CT or ultrasound has been considered the sine qua non for these procedures (14). Here, we have shown that transperineal needle placement for brachytherapy and tissue biopsy can be effectively performed inside a standard 1.5 T MRI scanner with a 60-cm bore.

As this is a clinical procedure in which the patient is under general anesthesia, we placed great emphasis on choosing a very robust and simple registration technique. While point-based registration techniques have greater precedence in the literature and allow for assessment of registration accuracy (15), we found that unambiguous and rapid localization of single points (i.e., 3 mm diameter glass spheres filled with gadolinium solution) using MRI to be problematic and subject to frequent failure. Therefore, we chose a registration method that relies on more easily recognized patterns (e.g., the gel-filled perineal grid and the long gel-filled tube on the endorectal coil) to increase reliability. With this registration technique, the dominant source of needle placement error appears to be needle deflection within the tissue. In development studies using soft homogeneous gel phantoms (which do not cause appreciable needle deflection), needle placement errors were consistently under 2 mm. In addition, the distribution of needle placement errors (Fig. 2) is accurately modeled using a Rayleigh distribution, which assumes that error has an independent and identical normal distribution in the x- and y-dimensions with no directional bias. This is the expected error distribution if needle deflection, and not some systematic error source, was responsible for the observed errors.

The ability to perform needle placement in a standard MR scanner architecture has several important applications. Primarily, it will allow for the acquisition of tissue biopsies that are accurately colocalized with 1.5 T MR data. Thus, this method provides an ideal platform for the histologic validation of various MR imaging techniques. Prior methods have relied on correlations with tissue biopsy obtained under ultrasound guidance or with deformed whole gland specimens (16), both of which introduce significant localization errors. Second, because MRI provides excellent visualization of both the intra- and periprostatic anatomy, this technique will allow for serial acquisition of tissue from a specified site within the prostate. With techniques such as transrectal ultrasound guidance, it is much more difficult to obtain tissue consistently from the same site within the gland. Serial tissue acquisition will be crucial for the development of prostate cancer therapeutics (i.e., a tumor can be serially biopsied during the course of treatment to study the therapeutic agent’s effect on the molecular and histological profile of the tissue) (17). Finally, this technique provides for direct planning and execution of a minimally invasive therapeutic procedure based on high-quality MR images. In previous work in animal models (in a standard 1.5 T scanner), we have demonstrated MRI guidance and monitoring while delivering solid and liquid therapeutic agents to the prostate (18).

In conclusion, a system for percutaneous needle access to the prostate inside a standard 1.5 T MRI scanner has been developed and applied in eight clinical procedures. Despite the relatively small bore size (60 cm) of the scanner, access to the perineum is possible by placing the patient in the left lateral decubitus position. Subsequent work will explore applications of this technique using dynamic contrast enhancement, MR spectroscopic imaging, and diffusion-weighted imaging.
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